

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,861	05/05/2005	Sandrine Touchais	28944/40152	7889
29471 7590 09262908 MCCRACKEN & FRANK LLP 311 S. WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			PERILLA, JASON M	
SUITE 2500 CHICAGO, II	. 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/533 861 TOUCHAIS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JASON M. PERILLA 2611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 05 May 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 2 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Regarding claim 5, in line 2, "the frame" is lacking antecedent basis.

Regarding claim 12, it is objected to for the same reasons as applied to claim 5 above.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauder et al (U.S. Pat. No. 7203247; "Bauder" – previously cited) in view of Schrader et al (U.S. Pat. No. 7016431; "Schrader" - previously cited).

Regarding claim 1, Bauder discloses, according to figure 2, a method of training a device (290, 225) for linearizing a radiofrequency amplifier (260) which is included within a radiofrequency transmitter (205) of a first equipment (200) of a radiocommunication system (abstract), which transmitter is adapted for transmitting bursts, each burst comprising symbols belonging to a determined alphabet of symbols ("QAM" modulation symbols; col. 4, line 16), the method comprising the steps consisting

Art Unit: 2611

of: a) generating a linearization training sequence (col. 5, lines 15-21, col. 6, lines 23-40; table 1) comprising a determined number N of symbols (see table 1), where N is a determined integer: b) transmitting the linearization training sequence by means of the transmitter in at least certain of the bursts transmitted by the latter (i.e. during "training mode"; col. 5, lines 15-20); c) comparing the linearization training sequence transmitted (returned on a path from the "coupler") with the linearization training sequence generated (figure 2, "I,Q") so as to teach said linearization device (col. 7, line 28 - col. 9, line 25), wherein, in step b), the linearization training sequence is included in a sequence of symbols that is further designed to allow the adjusting of parameters of the transmission chain between said first equipment and a second equipment of the radiocommunication system (not shown; implied/inherent) with which said first equipment communicates (col. 5, liens 40-55). Bauder does not explicitly disclose the second equipment or receiver. However, for the utility of Bauder's transmitter 200, a receiver to receive its transmission is implied or inherent in Bauder's disclosure. In Bauder's embodiment, when the transmitter is in "training mode", it transmits one of the predetermined training sequences disclosed in table 1. It uses a coupled version of the transmission of such training sequence, in conjunction with training circuit 290, to update the lookup table 225 which reverses the non-linear properties of the power amplifier 260. It is evident from Bauder's disclosure that the linearization training sequence, when fed into the transmitter, is transmitted. Further, as broadly as claimed. the sequence of training symbols (i.e. which may contain only the linearization training sequence) is "designed to allow the adjusting of parameters of the transmission chain

Application/Control Number: 10/533,861

Art Unit: 2611

between said first equipment and a second equipment" because parameters of Bauder's transmitter 200 are adjusted according to the linearization training sequence. That is, Bauder's transmitter is part of "the transmission chain" and the "adjusting of parameters" is the adjustment in the predistorter 220.

Further regarding claim 1, Bauder discloses, as broadly as claimed, transmitting bursts of QAM symbols but does not disclose that the bursts are determined according to a frame structure. However, Schrader evidences, in strictly analogous art, the notoriously known use of frames (fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made that the transmitter of Bauder may utilize a frame structure of QAM symbols as suggested by Schrader because the use of frames is well known in the art as a exemplary structure of organizing data transmission.

Regarding claim 3, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Bauder discloses that the linearization training sequence may comprise 10, 20, 30, or 40 "chips" (table 1). Bauder does not disclose what relationship exists between such training sequences and the remaining burst(s) being transmitted. However, the linearization training sequence is considered to occupy only a part of the burst in which it is transmitted because it is not the only information being transmitted (i.e. "the source signal for transmission" is data transmitted; col. 5, line 55) by Bauder's transmitter. That is, the claim imparts no particular limitation defining a "burst". Therefore, as broadly as claimed, Bauder's transmission of a training sequence

Application/Control Number: 10/533.861

Art Unit: 2611

is only a "part" of a wider "burst" comprising the training sequence any actual information to be transmitted.

Regarding claim 4, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 3 as applied above. Further, the remaining limitations of the claim as disclosed as applied to claim 3 above. As broadly as claimed and depending upon the amount of actual data to be transmitted, Bauder's training sequence may constitute "around" 5% of the total information transmitted. One skilled in the art is aware that the training sequence is overhead which reduces the overall transmission rate of the transmitter. Therefore, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious to reduce the duration of transmission of the sequence to the least possible portion of a transmission burst. Moreover, the use of 5% of a transmission burst to transmit the training sequence does not impart any particular feature or benefit to the instant invention. The amount of the total transmission burst allocated to the training sequence could be 5% according to a design choice.

Regarding claim 8, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of the claim as applied to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 10, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 8 as applied above. Further, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the remaining limitations of the claim as applied to claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 11, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 8 as applied above. Further, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the remaining limitations of the claim as applied to claim 4 above.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 15, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further Bauder discloses that the application in a mobile terminal (col. 1, lines 15-30).

Regarding claim 16, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 8 as applied above. Further Bauder discloses that the application in a mobile terminal (col. 1, lines 15-30).

 Claims 2 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauder in view of Schrader and McFarland et al (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0186796; "McFarland" – newly cited).

Regarding claim 2, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Bauder in view of Schrader do not disclose that the sequence of symbols that is designed to allow the adjusting of parameters is a sequence of symbols that is designed to allow the dynamic control of the gain of a variable-gain amplifier of a radiofrequency receiver of a second equipment of the radiocommunication system with which the first equipment communicates. However, the transmission of a sequence of symbols designed to allow the dynamic control of the gain of a variable-gain amplifier of a "second equipment" or receiver is well known in the art. McFarland teaches that a sequence of symbols or "short training symbol sequence" is utilized to "adjust the gain of a gain control amplifier" (¶ 0011) of a receiver (fig. 2). McFarland's amplifier (fig. 2, ref. 214) is a variable gain amplifier as it takes control from a gain controller (fig. 2, ref. 220). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made that Bauder's "sequence of symbols" could contain

Application/Control Number: 10/533,861 Art Unit: 2611

both a linearization sequence as well as a automatic gain sequence as taught by

McFarland's because gain control is advantageous in a receiver's RF amplifier as is well

known in the art.

Regarding claim 9, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 8 as applied above. Further, Bauder in view of Schrader and McFarland disclose the remaining limitations of the claim as applied to claim 2 above.

 Claims 5, 6, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauder in view of Schrader and Khayrallah et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6320919; "Khayrallah" – newly cited).

Regarding claim 5, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Bauder in view of Schrader no not explicitly disclose that the linearization training sequence is transmitted at the start of the frame. However, Khayrallah teaches that training sequences are typically provided as the beginning of a frame of data (col. 1, lines 55-65). It is apparent to one having ordinary skill in the art that providing training sequences at the beginning of a frame permits any of linearization, channel estimation, or gain correction to occur before the data of a frame is processed as suggested by Khayrallah. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made that the linearization training sequence of Bauder in view of Schrader should be provided at the start of a frame as suggested by Khayrallah because it would permit any of linearization, channel estimation, or gain correction to occur before the data of a frame is processed.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,861

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 6, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Bauder in view of Schrader do not explicitly disclose that the linearization training sequence is further transmitted during a change of logical channel, a change of frequency and/or a change of power rating of the first equipment. However, Bauder in view of Schrader and Khayrallah as applied to claim 5 above disclose that the linearization training sequence is transmitted at a start of a frame and Bauder implies that the linearization training occurs directly after "start" of the transmitter (fig. 3, col. 9, lines 35-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made that the linearization training sequence of Bauder in view of Schrader and Khayrallah should be transmitted every time there is a change of logical channel, frequency, or power rating of the first equipment because each such instance is one of "re-starting" communication which should coincide with a new frame beginning with the linearization sequence as is implied by the prior art combination and understood by one having ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 12, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 8 as applied above. Further, Bauder in view of Schrader and McFarland disclose the remaining limitations of the claim as applied to claim 5 above.

Regarding claim 13, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 8 as applied above. Further, Bauder in view of Schrader and McFarland disclose the remaining limitations of the claim as applied to claim 6 above.

 Claims 7 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauder in view of Schrader, Khayrallah, and McFarland. Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 7. Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 1. as applied above. Further, Khayrallah discloses the use of a sequence of symbols (i.e. containing at least the linearization sequence) at the start of a frame as applied in claim 5 above. Further, McFarland discloses the use of an automatic gain sequence in addition to the linearization sequence in the sequence of symbols as applied to claim 2 above. Moreover, in the combination of Bauder in view of Schrader, Khavrallah, and McFarland, the sequence of symbols that is designed to allow the dynamic control of the transmission power of the mobile terminal first equipment comprises more than N symbols because it contains the linearization sequence of N symbols and the automatic gain sequence of additional symbols. Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made that the N symbols of the linearization training sequence are sent first in the sequence of symbols because adjusting the amplifier in the transmitter of Bauder should occur before the transmission of any automatic gain correction symbols which are to be received at the receiver.

Regarding claim 14, Bauder in view of Schrader disclose the limitations of claim 8 as applied above. Further, Bauder in view of Schrader, Khayrallah and McFarland disclose the remaining limitations of the claim as applied to claim 7 above.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON M. PERILLA whose telephone number is (571)272-3055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 EST.

Page 10

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason M Perilla/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611 March 20, 2008

/jmp/